

An Integrated Cognitive Task Analysis Method for Tracing Human Analysts' Cyber Security Analysis Processes

Chen Zhong, John Yen, Peng Liu, Pennsylvania State University

Rob Erbacher, Renee Etoty, Christopher Garneau Army Research Lab



Cyber Security Analysis: Defense Technologies + <u>Human Analysts</u>



Cyber Security Analysis: A Complex Cognitive Process

	0.101105	11.11.11.11.11.									
	4-284278	130,158,6,109	27.121.46.57	ACh I		educid of a reast	respire son)				
_		55. ISI 48. IS	Reserve Fight	-			CONCERNMENT (\$127)				_
		777 . 45 . 554 . 44	103.144.2.14							1 444-0 mil	
221		27.121.40.57	130.138.0.109	JCh ICh	24 18214	> 80 (VCK) 200-21				Tanan wit	
	4-342082	27.121.46.57	130.158.6.109	JCb	00 70254	> 00 (2.4.8) 200-24					
- 12		COF6080X_51:51:62	ELONOCHUZ	Vita	60 MPO Fill	a 192,566,1,100?	7411 192.166.3.				
544		745° FWW 715	145.148.2.14	540	TWO CAMAGE	LU Great Lestours	Corner (40-42) m	ly - p- hayoo-	CON CAME I	ape-galaptional	1-2-24
200	4.320443	192.168.2.14	192.168.3.2	047		ré query A was yo	#J00.508				
544		00191134184194188	BLOTOCHTS	1919	00 7470 17	4 Tel. 248.0.11.	14); 285-268-6-1				
	Tana .	Advent Advent Street		property pr	AA aist int	N YOU YOU AND	Tall entretario	G			
Fam 1			- ·	Pharmor 2	Daw Spect						
37	Mar 21	2004 8 49 49 PM	DROP		nos	10 251 0 27	10 251 0	255	1.37	1.37	70
33	Mar 21,	2004 8:49:48 PM	OPEN		nDb	10.251.0.46	67.121.23	19.220	0340	15221	
30	Mar 21,	2004 8:49:48 PM	DEOL		0Db	10.251.0.27	10.251.0.	592	132	137	3.0
58	W095 5.4 *	2004 0140140 PM	ONEM		ODb.	10/321/0/40	213.04.2	1.014	1000	3408	
11	Martin No.	1004 0'40'45 LW	DHOL		ICh	0011331101110	10'501'0'	10	0.740	#101	4.0
10	merits "La"	1004 0'40'41 LW	ONEM		001	10/10/00/00	2121121	10.10	4000		
-			DICOL		100	00 100 100 10					100
34	Mar 21	2004 8 49 46 614	UBUB		LCD	6210517271	10.261.0	10	0.340	4160	40
23	Mar 21.		Philippine -		LCb.	142.59.35.59			0340		40
		30010101001	0000								
	Mar 21,	2004 8:49:45 PM	DEOP		тсь	68.174.102.25	10.251.0.	10	0340	4170	40
No. v	Mar 21,	Date/Time 2004 8:49:45 PM	DROP DROP		Protocol TCP	Source IP 08 174 102 25	Destina 10.251.0	NON IP	Src port	dst por 4176	40
No. Y	Mar 21,	Date/Time 2004 8:49:45 PM	DROP Action		Protocol TCP	Bource IP 69.174.102.25	Desbro 10.251.0	Idon IP	arc port	dat por 4170	1 82
NO.Y	Mar 21,	Date/Time 2004 8:49:45 PM	DROP Action		Protocol	Bource IP 68.174.102.22	Desbri 10.251.0	NOON IP	src port 6346	det por 4170	1 82
No. Y	Mar 21,	Date/Time	DROP Action		Protocol	Bource IP 08.174.102.22	Desbri 10.251.0	Mon IP	arc port	dat por 4170	40
No. Y	Mar 21,	Date/Time 2004 8:49 45 PM	DROP Action		Protocol	Bource IP 08 174 102 25	Desbri 10.251.0	idon IP	arc port	dat por	1 82
No. v	Mar 21,	Date/Time 2004 8:49 45 PM	DROP Action		Protocol	Bource IP 69.174.102.22	Desbri 51 10 251 0	MON IP	e src port 0.340	dat por	40
No. Y	Mar 21,	Date/Time 2004 8:49 45 PM	DROP Action		Protocol	08 174 102 25	Destina 51 102510	100 IP	e Ste port	dat por	40
	Marrison Married	Contraction from the second se	рког		Protocol	18 (000000000000000000000000000000000000	Destina 1 10 261 0.	100 IP	arc port	dat por	40 40
No. v	Mar 21,	in entropy of the second secon	рког Асвол		Protocol	60.174.102.27	Destina 1 10.261.0	ation IP		dstpor	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
		(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	ркор Асвол		Protocol	60.174.102.22	Destina 11 10 261 0	don IP		dat por	40
	Million 21	 Construction from the construction of the constructio	DROP Action		Profocol	Bource IP 00.174.102.27	Productions	1000 IP		dist por 4170	1 85
		In the second se	Action DROP		Profocol	Bource IP 60.174.102.27	Destina 1 20251 0.251 0.2	Mon IP	6346	dat por 4170	
	Market St	 Section A. S. Sacara and Section Section	Action DROP		Profocol		Desting		5340 5340	and 1 m	
		LIT DILLE	Action DROP Action		Profocol	001141022	93-93-97 modelension modelensi		10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	10-27 (0-27)	
		La contraction de la contracti	Construction of the second sec			001141022	12. Bineugh (1121) 81-15-27 	1 02 33 27 05 57 47 100 1P 100 1P		14.37 (4.37) (4.35) (4.37) (4.37)	
			0 - 1- 27			(1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1) Breaght ((11)) 8-3-3-7 		9340 Bic bou 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	19-37 (19-37) (19-3	
		Comparison of the second	0	10.000		Control (1)	17 Brough 6(11)1 5155-79 moderations moderations 1000 100 100 100 100 1000 100 100 100 1000 100 100 100 1000 100 100 100			10-37 10	
						(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	1.) Gerough (/15/1 81-15-27 81-15-27 91	1 02 (23 (27	2340 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000		
			er e			Commission C	1) through 6(1)(1) 2)-5-27 monorminations monorminations 100-2014 51 10-2014	1 02 (23 (27) 1 02 (27)	1 	4110 425 bos 	
		An transmission A	Action Action	TT TT		(1) ((a) (b) (c) (4170	
						Comparison C	mail	1 02-22-22 02-22-02 02-02 02-02-02 02-02-02 02-02-02 02-02-02 02-02-02 02-02	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4110 42100	
						(1) (an) 				
				TT a		(1) (K. STREEL, K. Street, K. Street				





Network Monitoring Data

Analytical Reasoning Process

Cyber Attacks

How did you make it?

I took the data and conducted reasoning based on my experience and domain knowledge.





An Example of Cyber Security Analysis



Alice



Intrusion Detection System (IDS) Alerts

DataTime	SourceIP	DestIP	Category	Priority	Description
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.246	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.246	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	10.32.5.54	172.23.233.150	Misc activity	3	[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization messad
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	10.32.5.58	172.23.233.33	Misc activity	3	[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization messac
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	10.32.5.56	172.23.233.9	Misc activity	3	[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization messac
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.227	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.227	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.236	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.236	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.215	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.215	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.229	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.229	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.216	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.216	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.219	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.249	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.249	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.245	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.245	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.239	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.239	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.233	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.233	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode

Firewall logs

DataTime	Priority	Operation	Protocol	SrcIP	DesIP	SrcPort	DesPort	DestService	Direction
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.240.152	10.32.5.56	5203	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.235.50	10.32.5.52			6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM		Teardown	TCP	172.23.238.127	10.32.5.54			6667_tcp	
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.238.124	10.32,5.54		6667	6667_tcp	(empty)
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM		Teardown	TCP		10.32.5.59	3306		6667_tcp	(empty)
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info		TCP	172.23.238.78		3336	6667	6667_tcp	(empty)
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM		Built		172.23.233.51	10.32.5.51			6667_tcp	
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.50		6638	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built		172.23.233.56	10.32.5.58		6667	6667 tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.233.50	10.32.5.57		6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.56	10.32.5.58	6639	6667	6667 tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.58	10.32.5.51	6640	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.52	10.32.5.59	6641	6667	6667 tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.58	10.32.5.51	6640	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.52	10.32.5.59	6641	6667	6667 tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.57	10.32.5.58	6642	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.57	10.32.5.58	6642	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.54	10.32.5.57	6643	6667	6667 tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.53	10.32.5.52	6644	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.53	10.32.5.52	6644	6667	6667 tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.54	10.32.5.57	6643	6667	6667_tcp	outbound
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Deny	TCP	172.23.233.54	10.32.5.57	6643	6667	6667 tcp	(empty)
		- 11-		170.00.000.00	1000550	66.15			

4

Alice started with browsing the IDS alerts.

DataTime	SourceIP	DestIP	Category	Priority	Description
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.246	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.246	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	10.32.5.54	172.23.233.150	Misc activity	3	[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization messad
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	10.32.5.58	172.23.233.33	Misc activity	3	[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization messad
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	10.32.5.56	172.23.233.9	Misc activity	3	[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization messad
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.227	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.227	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.236	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.236	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.215	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.215	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.229	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.229	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.216	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.216	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.219	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.249	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.249	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.245	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.245	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.239	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.239	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.233	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setu
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	172.23.0.233	172.23.0.10	Generic Protocol Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode

She noticed a sequence of alerts which reports connections via port 6667.

	Priority	Description	SourcePort	DestPor
col Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setup NTMLSSP unicode asn1 overflow atten	1010	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode share access	1912	445
8		[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization message	6667	6650
		[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization message	6667	6651
		[1:2000355:5] ET POLICY IRC authorization message	6667	6657
col Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setup NTMLSSP unicode asn1 overflow atten at	3420	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode share access	3420	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setup NTMLSSP unicode asn1 overflow attempt	3504	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode share access	3504	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setup NTMLSSP unicode asn1 overflow attempt	3444	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode share access	3444	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setup NTMLSSP unicode asn1 overflow attempt	3484	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode share access	3484	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2103003:7] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS Session Setup NTMLSSP unicode asn1 overflow attempt	3471	445
col Command Decode	3	[1:2102466:9] GPL NETBIOS SMB-DS IPC\$ unicode share access	3471	445



She knew that this port is used for IRC (Internet Relay Chat) connections and IRC connections could be used for malicious purpose.

So she generated a hypothesis about an attack event: malicious communications are going through this port (true alert).

To investigate the hypothesis, she decided to check the detailed information about these connections in firewall logs.

DataTime	Priority	Operation	Protocol	SrcIP	DesIP	SrcPort	DesPort	DestService	Direction
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.240.152	10.32.5.56	5203	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.235.50	10.32.5.52	2316	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.238.127	10.32.5.54	3330	6667	6667_tcp	(empty) A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.238.124	10.32.5.54	3329	6667	6667_tcp	(empty) A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.238.121	10.32.5.59	3306	6667	6667_tcp	(empty) A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.238.78	10.32.5.52	3336	6667	6667_tcp	(empty) A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	ТСР	172.23.233.51	10.32.5.51	6637	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.50	10.32.5.57	6638	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	ТСР	172.23.233.56	10.32.5.58	6639	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	ТСР	172.23.233.50	10.32.5.57	6638	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.56	10.32.5.58	6639	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.58	10.32.5.51	6640	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Built	TCP	172.23.233.52	10.32.5.59	6641	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
4/5/2012 10:15:00 PM	Info	Teardown	TCP	172.23.233.58	10.32.5.51	6640	6667	6667_tcp	outbound A
1/5 /2012 10 15 00 DL		- I	TCD	470.00.000.00	40.00 5 50	CC 44	0000	ccca .	

It indicates that such connections are between internal workstations and external web servers. Policy Violated!

Strengthen previous hypothesis (i.e. malicious IRC connections).

Motivation: The Potential Benefits of Understanding the Analysis Process

Decision Maker:	Analyst:	Analyst/ Instructor:	Instructor/ Program	Technology Provider:
	Reflect and		Manager:	
Assess how	improve.	Extract		Identify
valid and		knowledge	Training	analysts' need
reliable the		and		and improve
conclusion is.		experience.		tools.



Cognitive Task Analysis (CTA)

Cognitive Task Analysis (CTA) is a family of methods that help researchers understand the human's cognitive activities in performing tasks.



Related Work: Existing CTAs in this Domain



- Resource-intensive, hard for researcher/administrator to replicate.
- Growing need for studying the analysts' analysis process



Our Method for Capturing Analysts' Cognitive Processes

An Integrated Computer-Aided Cognitive Task Analysis Data Collection Method





Part 1: What to Capture

An Integrated Computer-Aided Cognitive Task Analysis Data Collection Method

A Conceptual Model: Representing the analytical reasoning processes

An Interactive Tool: Capturing the analytical reasoning processes

An Experiment: Traces are collected when subjects are performing a cyber analysis task.

- Critical Elements in Analysts' Analysis Processes
 - A: Actions in data exploration
 - O: Observations of evidence
 - **H: Hypothesis** about the attack events



The AOH Conceptual Model: Main Elements



purpose.



The AOH Conceptual Model: Relationships Between the Objects of A, O and H



Cyber Security Analysis: Creating and Linking Actions, Observations and Hypotheses

Operation	Description
BROWSE	BROWSE (D_i) , $D_i \subseteq \bigcup DS_i^*$: Browse the data sources.
FILTER	FILTER(DS_i , Cond.): Filter the source data DS_i based on condition Cond.
SEARCH	SEARCH $(D_i, K), D_i \subseteq \bigcup DS_i$: Search K in data D_i .
INQUIRE	INQUIRE (T_m) : Inquire about a term T_m
SELECT	SELECT $(D_i), D_i \subseteq \bigcup DS_i$:Select the data of interest in D_i .
SELECTED *	*(<i>Come in pairs with SELECT</i>) SELECTED (D_i) , $D_i \subseteq \bigcup DS_i$: The selected data of interest
LINK	LINK $(D_i, L), D_i \subseteq \bigcup DS_i$: The links L among the selected data D_i (e.g. common features in D_i)
NEW_HYP O	NEW (h, O) : Generate a hypothesis h in the context of observation O.
MODIFY	MODIFY(h , v_1 , v_2): Modify the content of an hypothesis h from v_1 to v_2
SWITCH	SWITCH_CONTEXT (h_1, h_2) : Change current focus of
CONTEXT	attention from hypothesis h_1 to hypothesis h_2 .
CONFIRM/	CONFIRM_DENY($h_1, Y/N$): Confirm or deny an
DENY	hypothesis h_1 .

Trace: Representation of the Analysis Process

T

Definition 1: A cognitive trace $\mathcal{T}\mathbf{r}$ is a sequence of items $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n, \forall \mathbf{p}_i \ 1 \le i \le n, \mathbf{p}_i$ is a tuple (t, i) op (I, C_i) , where \mathbf{t}_i is the timestamp, op (I, C_i) is an operation on a cognitive activity I under the context C_i . I is an action, observation or hypothesis, C_i is a set of connections between I with the existing actions, observations and hypotheses.

in	nesta	mp Operation
	t1	FILTER (Firewall logs, Port = 6667)
	t2	SELECT (Log entries with Port 6667)
	t3	NEW_HYPO (IRC communication)
	t4	



Part 2: A Tool (ARSCA) for CTA Data Collection

An Integrated Computer-Aided Cognitive Task Analysis Data Collection Method

A Conceptual Model: Representing the analytical reasoning processes

An Interactive Tool: Capturing the analytical reasoning

processes

An Experiment: Traces are collected when subjects are performing a cyber analysis task.

- Reduce interruption and alleviate the privacy concern
- Capturing in an

efficient way



ARSCA Architecture



How an Analyst Works with ARSCA? (Video)



RI

ARSCA Output: Trace

```
<Item Timestamp="07/31 13:01:41">
23
24
    FILTER(
     SELECT * FROM Task2IDS WHERE SourcePort = '6667',
25
    Task2IDS
26
27
28
    </Item>
29
    <Item Timestamp="07/31 13:01:46">,
30
31
    SELECT (
32
    A[1:2000355:5]-[10.32.5.54]-[172.23.232.252],
    A[1:2000355:5]-[10.32.5.56]-[172.23.233.59],
33
    A[1:2000355:5]-[10.32.5.54]-[172.23.238.124],
34
35
    ...)
36
    </Item>
37
38
    <Item Timestamp="07/31 13:02:01">
39
    LINK (
40
    Same Sourt Port and IDS alert
41
42
    </Item>
43
44
    <Item Timestamp="07/31 13:04:06">
45
    NEW (
46
    H46131157 The network is not secure,
47
    H67531068 IDS IRC Alerts are true: The IDS alerts are showing IRC authorization alerts over tcp/6667.
    This is the default IRC communication port, and this communication is between the workstation IPs and
    external resources.
48
    In this situation this could indicate that there has been a policy violating because IRC communication
49
    on this network isn't allowed. Or this could also be an indicator of compromise because malware can
    leverage IRC for Command to Control (C2) communication.
```

FILTER: Filter the IDS alerts

SELECT: Select a set of entries as an observation

LINK: The selected entries have common source port and alerts

NEW HYPO: create a hypothesis based on the above observation.

</Item> 51

50

Integration of Automated Tracing and Self-Reports

Tracking Actions (A) Automated Capture

Tracking Observations (O) Automated Capture

Automated tracing

+ Efficient

+ Not interruptive

- No comments from subjects, hard to analyze the captured data

Management of As, Os and Hs

- Automatically maintain the relationships between A, O, H
 - Facilitate reflection

Tracking Hypotheses (H) Self-reports

Self-reports

- + Information confirmed by the subjects.
- Depends on the subjects' willingness.
- Distraction

Part 3: Experiment for CTA Data Collection

An Integrated Computer-Aided Cognitive Task Analysis Data Collection Method

A Conceptual Model: Representing the analytical reasoning processes

An Interactive Tool: Capturing the analytical reasoning processes

Lab Experiment: Traces are collected when subjects are performing a cyber analysis task.

- Evaluate the method
- Traces can be used for studying the analysis processes



Overview of the Lab Experiment

30 Participants

- 13 professional analysts
- 17 doctoral students



- 2 Network Data Sources
- Multi-step Attack Scenaric

Process Tracing Tool (ARSCA)



0

ARSCA collected the Traces of the participants' cognitive processes.

Post-task questionnaires collected Feedbacks.



Experiment Design: Task Design

- Requirements:
 - Reasonable complexity for the analysts
 - Close to the real-world data
 - Volume and Complexity of the monitoring data sets
 - Moderate workload so that analysts can finish the task in the experiment.

24

Tailored from VAST 2012 Challenge

- A 10-minute time window (in the original 40hour attack scenario)
- Contain 3 main attack events
 - IRC communications,
 - Denied FTP connections for data stealing
 - Successful SSH connections for data stealing
- 239 IDS alerts and 115,524 firewall logs.



Experiment Design: Procedure

• Pre-task Questionnaire (5 min)

- Demographic factors
- Domain knowledge and expertise of cyber security analysis
- Familiarity with VAST Challenge 2012
- Current mental and physical status

• Tutorial (20 min)

- Introduce the tool features.
- Analysts need to pass a quiz before going to the next step

• Conducting the task (At most 60 min)

- At the beginning, we just introduce the task and background information.
- We didn't provide any instruction to intentionally ask analysts to self report.

• Post-task Questionnaire (15 min)

- Open-ended questions
- Close-ended rating questions using a 5-point Likert scale

The effect of analysts' proficiency with the tool on their task performance

To ensure self-motived behavior.



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

- Q1: Whether the method can help us successfully conduct CTA data collection in the cyber security domain?
- Q2: Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in analysts' analysis processes?
- Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?
- Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

- Q1: Whether the method can help us successfully conduct CTA data collection in the cyber security domain?
- Q2: Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in analysts' analysis processes?
- Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?
- Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Participants' Feedbacks

- (1) TASK_CMP: "The task is of reasonable complexity regarding the analysis activities it involves (e.g. data exploration, thinking reasoning, making decisions)."
- (2) **SET_CFT:** *"I felt comfortable with the provided software, and my performance is not hindered by it."*
- (3) EXP_RFL: "My capability/expertise of cyber analysis is fully leveraged and is reflected in accomplishing the task."

(4) CONC: "I'm fully concentrated in accomplishing the task."

Likert Scale Response*		Post-task Questions						
		TASK_CMP SET _CFT EXP_RFL		CONC	Total			
Discourse	1	0 (0,0)	1 (1,0)	0 (0,0)	0 (0,0)	1		
Disagree	2	1 (1,0)	3 (1,2)	4 (3,1)	2 (0,2)	10		
Neutral	3	9 (5,4)	7 (2,5)	11 (6,5)	4 (2,2)	31		
•	4	14 (9,5)	14 (9,5)	13 (4,9)	21 (12,9)	62		
Agree	5	6 (2,4)	5 (0,5)	2 (0,2)	3 (3,0)	16		

* Numbers in brackets refer to professional analysts and doctoral students respectively.

ENNSTATE

Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

Whether the method enables us successfully collect traces in ne cyber security domain?

- Q2: Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in analysts' analysis processes?
- Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?
- Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

Whether the method enables us successfully collect traces in ne cyber security domain?

- Q2: Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in analysts' analysis processes?
- Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?
- Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Q2: Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in analysts' analysis processes?

Open-ended Questions in Post-task Questionnaire:

- (1) **IMP_OBS**: "*Reflecting back, what are the* **3** *most important evidences that you observed in the data that contributed to your conclusion*?"
- (2) FD_OBS: "Please explain how you find the above evidences."
- (3) IMP_HPY: "Reflecting back, what are the 3 most important thoughts in your mind that contributed to your conclusion?"
- (4) EVTS: "Based on your analysis, please create one or more narratives that describe the events on the network
 (i.e. tell the storyline of the potential events)".

To check whether the information in the answers had been captured in the traces.

Q2: Whether the method captures the key elements in analysts' analytical reasoning processes?



Q2: Whether the method captures the key elements in analysts' analysis processes?

- Data Cleaning:
 - "IMP_OBS": 30 answers
 - "FD_OBS": 27 answers
 - "IMP_HPY": 29 answers
 - "EVTS": 29 answers
- Content Analysis
 - Generated 318 themes in these answers.
- "IMP_OBS", "IMP_HPY" and "EVTS"
 - All the themes are captured in traces.
- "FD_OBS"
 - $\overline{5}$ themes in its answers are not captured by the trace.
 - Domain knowledge (e.g. "some ports are always used for malicious connections")
 - Implicit assumption made by the participants (e.g. "outbound connections are not allowed by the network policy")

Not explicitly captured but can be inferred.



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

Whether the method enables us successfully collect traces in ne cyber security domain?

Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in nalysts' analysis processes?

- Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?
- Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

Whether the method enables us successfully collect traces in ne cyber security domain?

Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in nalysts' analysis processes?

• Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?

• Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Q3: Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from the captured data (traces)?



An Example: Proceeding From One Event to its Associated Events



Hypotheses:

- Malicious IRC communication to C&C
- $\cdot \ \, \rightarrow \,$
- Possible Follow-up events: Data Exfiltration

Largely relies on his experience gained from long-term on-the-job training.



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

Whether the method enables us successfully collect traces in ne cyber security domain?

Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in nalysts' analysis processes?

O3-Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from captured data (traces)?

• Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Evaluate the Method from Four Aspects

Whether the method enables us successfully collect traces in ne cyber security domain?

Whether the collected data (traces) contain the key elements in nalysts' analysis processes?

O3-Whether the analysts' analysis process can be recovered from captured data (traces)?

• Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



Q4: Whether the analysts' analysis processes recovered from the traces are close to the original ones?



CTA Data in Traces Complement Each Other

Automated-captured CTA data

Operations on Action and Observation e.g. FILTER, SEARCH, SELECT

Self-reported CTA data

Operations on Hypothesis e.g. NEW_HYPO

1. Automatically captured action describes automatically captured observation

Our Interpretation



Information in Trace

<item timestamp="05/24 13:24:15"></item>
FILTER (SELECT * FROM Task2Firewall WHERE Port = 6667,
Task2Firewall)
<item timestamp="05/24 13:25:29"></item>
SELECT (
FIREWALL-[4/5/2012 10:15:00]-[Built]-[TCP]
(172.23.240.254, 10.32.5.59),
FIREWALL-[4/5/2012 10:15:00]-[Built]-[TCP]
(172.23. 30.220, 10.32.5.57))
PENNOJALE

CTA Data in Traces Complement Each Other

Automated-captured CTA data

Operations on Action and Observation e.g. FILTER, SEARCH, SELECT

Self-reported CTA data

Operations on Hypothesis e.g. NEW_HYPO

2. Automatically captured observation provides the context information for self-reported hypothesis

Our Interpretation



Information in Trace

<Item Timestamp="09/12 14:25:33"> NEW_HYPO(Should be malicious IRC communication </Item>



CTA Data in Traces Complement Each Other

Automated-captured CTA data

Self-reported CTA data

Operations on Action and Observation e.g. FILTER, SEARCH, SELECT

Operations on Hypothesis e.g. NEW_HYPO

3. Self-reported **hypothesis** explains the motivation of the following automatically captured **action**





Preliminary Trace-Stimulated Recall with a Professional Analyst



"I saw strings within the IDS alerts that meant IRC communication/traffic that was based on my <u>prior</u> <u>experience this is a default</u> <u>port for IRC</u>.



Conclusion: Integrating Automated Capture and Self-Report

An Integrated Computer-Aided Cognitive Task Analysis Data Collection Method





Benefits of Integrated Process Tracing

Tracking Actions (A) Automated Capture Tracking Observations (O) Automated Capture

Automated capture facilitates selfreports

Overview of Analysis Process

- Automatically maintain the relationships between A, O, H
- Enable editing and Maintain Relationships

Self-Reports provides the subjects' comments on the automated captured information.

Tracking Hypotheses (H) Self-reports



Work in Progress...

An Integrated Computer-Aided Cognitive Task Analysis Data Collection Method



Next Step: In-depth Trace Analysis

- 1. Behavior patterns, analysis strategy
- 2. Difference between experts and novices



Thank You! Q&A

Contact: czz111@psu.edu